The diffraction of light has put an upper limit on the resolvability of alike objects in far-field fluorescence microscopy, as described by Abbe’s law. In a standard confocal microscope, for example, the diffraction restricts the minimal extent of the excitation laser beam at the sample to about 200 nm in diameter for visible light. Scanning of this laser beam over the sample produces fluorescence images in which details smaller than this minimum spot size appear blurred (Figure 1a).

For decades, this physical limitation has prevented a closer look at cellular structures and therefore concealed many important details of cellular functions. The idea of selectively switching fluorescence on and off has paved the way beyond this limit and has brought about a multitude of fluorescence microscopes with nanometer-scale spatial resolution. The very first of these nanoscopy technologies was based on the reversible switching of fluorescence by stimulated emission. In this stimulated emission depletion (STED) microscopy, the excitation laser spot is overlaid with a redshifted laser beam, which is characterized by one or more zero-intensity points. When the intensity of the latter STED laser light is increased above a certain threshold, fluorescence emission is spatially selectively inhibited, and the area in which fluorescence is still allowed is reduced to a diameter that is much smaller than the diffraction-limited 200 nm (Figure 1). The reduction of the observation volume depends on the intensity of the STED beam and is in principle unlimited. Scanning of such a laser beam configuration can thus produce fluorescence images with an in principle unlimited spatial resolution. The technique has proved capable of imaging the molecular distributions inside cells with 20-nm precision and at high speed, and this contributes significantly to the advance of molecular biology research. For instance, it allows revealing new insights into the workings of membrane hetero-
A drawback to initial STED microscopy systems was the relatively high level of complexity and the need for large and costly high-power pulsed laser sources, such as a Ti:sapphire pumped ultrafast optical parametric oscillator. But the practical applicability of STED microscopy, especially with regard to imaging inside living cells, has improved substantially over the past years, thanks to the development of new fluorescence labels and new laser technology. For instance, with the right choice of wavelength for the laser beam, STED nanoscopy can be performed on living cells tagged with green fluorescent protein.

**Live-cell STED steps up**

Moreover, live-cell STED imaging took a big step forward when scan rates were brought up to several kilohertz, which avoided the buildup of a population of the fluorescent label in the triplet or other (“photo unstable”) dark states. This development, combined with the implementation of continuous-wave STED lasers and gated detection schemes, strongly helped to reduce the laser power at the samples and thereby minimize photodamage. Furthermore, recent developments in the fluorescence tagging of proteins, for instance the SNAP-tag, have allowed the use of photostable and bright organic dyes inside the living cell (Figure 2a).

Now, for the first time, a compact, low-noise CW diode-pumped solid-state (DPSS) red laser has been used for STED microscopy. The use of such lasers substantially reduces the complexity, size and cost of the nanoscope setup. The dye used in the experiments was the membrane-permeable rhodamine dye TMR (tetramethylrhodamine). This dye, which has proved to be useful with the SNAP-tag technology on a STED nanoscope, requires a STED laser in the 650- to 670-nm-wavelength range (Figure 2b).

The laser used was a 660-nm DPSS model from Cobolt AB of Sweden; it is based on a miniaturized ring-cavity design and built into a thermocontrolled and hermetically sealed package. The stable output power and low-intensity noise levels enable nanoscopy of TMR-labeled cellular samples; these qualities also permit STED to be combined with single-molecule-based spectroscopic tools such as fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS) for the investigation of nanoscale membrane diffusion of lipids and proteins.

Recording STED images of TMR-SNAP tagged samples with the gated detection places rather specific demands on the performance of the CW-STED laser. Besides the 650- to 670-nm wavelength already mentioned, a CW-STED light of around 100 to 150 mW is required at the sample, which, because of losses at the different optical parts of the nanoscope, translates into a need for a red laser with at least 400 mW of power.

Another important prerequisite is the use of low-noise lasers, because any fluctuations in the power level compromise the contrast of the final image. Figure 3 shows STED images produced using gated detection and a low-noise DPSS laser for STED: the Cobolt Flamenco, which delivers up to 500 mW at 660 nm with an rms noise of less than 0.1 percent.

**Excellent resolution**

Confocal images recorded with power of 130 mW at the sample clearly show superior resolution over the diffraction-limited confocal recordings, as exemplified for microtubule of mammalian cells labeled with TMR via the SNAP-tag technology. Excitation was performed by a 532-nm pulsed laser system from Picoquant GmbH. Consequently, using a small CW DPSS laser significantly reduces the cost and complexity of a STED nanoscope setup without compromising its performance.

A prominent topic in cellular signaling is the role of heterogeneous organization...
and the dynamics of molecules in the plasma membrane. It is well known that membrane heterogeneity imposed by lipid-lipid and lipid-protein interactions is involved in membrane-associated processes. For example, STED nanoscopy can be combined with FCS to disclose complex dynamical processes at the nanoscale. In FCS, fluctuations in fluorescence intensity over time are analyzed as labeled molecules move in and out of the measurement volume. STED-FCS enables observation of these molecules' interactions on nanoscale levels, because slight hindrances in diffusion – e.g., due to heterogeneity and molecular interactions – result in a detectable variation of the transit times through the tiny observation areas. For example, previous STED-FCS experiments showed the potential of observing differences between free and hindered motion of fluorescently labeled lipid molecules. While certain sphingolipid analogs were transiently (~10 ms) trapped on the nanoscale level in cholesterol-mediated molecular complexes, others, such as phosphoglycolipid analogs, diffused freely.

The use of gated detection and CW-STED lasers has proved advantageous for STED-FCS as well as imaging – and for similar reasons. STED-FCS in particular requires the use of very low noise lasers because it measures fluctuations in the fluorescence signal; these fluctuations become less detectable for increasing levels of noise. Therefore, we again implemented the 660-nm, low-noise DPSS laser to highlight STED-FCS measurements of a TMR-labeled phospholipid in the plasma membrane of live mammalian cells.

Figure 4b shows results of a STED-FCS study of this diffusion for observation areas of different sizes (created by both increasing the STED power and adjusting the timing of the gated detection). An accurate observation of the lipid diffusion was possible in this case for observation areas down to 50 nm in diameter. From the transit times and the size of the respective observation areas, we can calculate the apparent diffusion coefficients of the lipids for the different recordings. The independence on the area’s size indicates free Brownian diffusion as expected for these kinds of phospholipids. The combination of 532-nm excitation and 660-nm STED would also allow the use of the TMR-SNAP-tag for STED-FCS. This is of special interest for the study of membrane heterogeneity because the SNAP-tag would allow labeling of (trans)membrane proteins from both its extracellular and cytosolic parts, which might minimize an alteration of their functionality from the tagging.
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